US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

FEB 27 1992

OFFICE OF PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT:

Metalaxyl Technical (EPA Reg. No. 100-601): New CSF and

Label.

1-0708 Project No.: Tox. Chem. No.: 375AA Chem Code: 113501 Submission No.: S389259 N.A. MRID No.: D161407 DP BAR CODE:

TO:Susan Lewis/Benjamin Chambliss, PM Team # 21

Registration Division (H7505C)

Nguyen B. Thoa, Ph.D. FROM:

Section I, Toxicology Branch I Health Effects Division (H7509C)

Roger L. Gardner, Section Head THRU:

Section I, Toxicology Branch I Roger f. Harfun

Health Effects Division (H7509C)

REGISTRANT: CIBA-GEIGY, Agricultural Division, Greensboro, NC

Actions Requested

- The registrant submitted a new CSF (dated 01/08/91) for 1. Metalaxyl technical to replace the currently accepted one (dated 08/25/82). RD noticed that the new CSF contains some process related impurities not disclosed in the current CSF. TB is asked by RD to give comments on this matter.
- Review label of Metalaxyl technical for formulation into 2. fungicides and for use on terrestial food/nonfood crops, greenhouse nonfood crops, and interiorscapes.

Conclusions

TB concurs with RD that the new SCF (dated 01/08/91) contains 1. several process related impurities which were not listed in the currently accepted Ser (dated 08/25/82). These included

MANUFACTURING PROCESS INFORMATION IS NOT INCLUDED

次か Printed on Recycled Paper

MANUFACTURING PROCESS INFORMATION IS NOT INCLUDED

to the acceptability of the new SeF if the registrant can provide data to demonstrate that these impurities were already present in the 1982 product.

The nominal concentration of Metalaxyl technical [N-(2,6,-dimethylphenyl)-N-(methoxyacetyl alanine, methyl ester] was listed as 96.9% a.i. in both the new see and the label. The registrant states that this nominal concentration can serve as their label quarantee.

2. The proposed label for Metalaxyl technical is acceptable. The human hazard signal word "WARNING" was listed, reflecting the TOX CAT II classification of the eye irritation effect. Appropriate child hazard warning, precautionary statements, and statement of practical treatment were also listed. The uses listed were for formulation into fungicides, for use only on terrestial food and nonfood crops, greenhouse nonfood crops, and interiorscapes.